

Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations of higher order Chern-Simons theories

Sarmishtha Kumar *

S.N. Bose National Centre For Basic Sciences
Salt Lake City, Block JD, Sector III
Calcutta 700 098, INDIA

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We consider models involving the higher (third) derivative extension of the abelian Chern-Simons (CS) topological term in $D=2+1$ dimensions. The polarisation vectors in these models reveal an identical structure with the corresponding expressions for usual models which contain, at most, quadratic structures. We also investigate the Hamiltonian structure of these models and show how Wigner's little group acts as gauge generator.

*e-mail: kumar@boson.bose.res.in

Introduction

The abelian Chern-Simons(CS) topological action represented by, $I_{CS} = \frac{\theta}{2} \int d^3x (\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} f_\mu \partial_\nu f_\lambda)$ has been studied extensively in different aspects in 2+1 dimensions. Recently the higher derivative extensions of the CS action, specially the leading third derivative order (TCS) has been considered. For abelian vector fields the action I_{TCS} can be given by $I_{TCS} = \frac{1}{2m} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \square f_\mu \partial_\nu f_\lambda$. It remains gauge invariant, parity odd but no more topological unlike the usual CS term, because of the metric dependence in the additional covariant derivative factor [1]. Many interesting observations come out in coupling this TCS term to either pure Maxwell term or usual CS term or to both of these terms [1].

In this work we are trying to unravel the connection of such higher derivative models with some familiar models such as the Maxwell-Chern Simons, the Proca, or the Maxwell-Chern Simons-Proca models, which contain quadratic derivative terms at most in the action.

In the section I, we have calculated the polarisation vectors of the higher derivative models considered here. Then it has been shown that the form of these polarisation vectors are coming identical with that of conventional models. To get this we have considered the Lagrangian formulation [2].

In section II, we adopt the Hamiltonian analysis by considering a particular model. In this section the problem accounted in quantising these higher derivative models is illustrated [3, 4].

In section III, we consider the same particular model. Here we have discussed about the gauge transformation property of the system; using the Wigner's Little Group [5, 6, 7]. This group is shown to act as a gauge generator.

Our metric convention is $g^{\mu\nu} = (+, -, -)$; and $\epsilon^{012} = +1 = \epsilon_{012}$.

Section I. Lagrangian Analysis

To start with, let us first consider the pure Maxwell term coupled with the third derivative CS term, giving the Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{MTCS} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \square f^\mu \partial^\nu f^\lambda \quad (1)$$

where the field strength is defined as,

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu f_\nu - \partial_\nu f_\mu$$

and θ has the dimensions of mass. The eq. of motion obtained from (1) is,

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\theta} \epsilon^{\nu\lambda\sigma} \square \partial_\lambda f_\sigma = 0 \quad (2)$$

Substituting the solution for the negative energy component in terms of the polarisation vector η_ν ,

$$f_\mu(k) = \eta_\mu(k) e^{ik \cdot x} \quad (3)$$

we get,

$$\eta^\mu = \frac{1}{k^2} k^\mu (k \cdot \eta) - \frac{i}{\theta} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} k_\nu \eta_\lambda \quad (4)$$

Two cases are now possible; $k^2 = 0$ for massless modes and $k^2 \neq 0$ for massive modes. Consider first the massless case. We can choose the momentum k^μ propagating along the 2nd direction so that,

$$k^\mu = (1 \ 0 \ 1)^T; k_\mu = (1 \ 0 \ -1)^T \quad (5)$$

Replacing k^μ and $\eta^\mu = (\eta^0 \eta^1 \eta^2)^T$ in (4), we get

$$k \cdot \eta = 0 \quad (6)$$

So that η^μ has the form, $\eta^\mu = (\eta^2 \eta^1 \eta^2)^T$. Now using the gauge invariance of the model (1), η^μ may be further reduced to

$$\eta^\mu = (0 \ a \ 0)^T \quad (7)$$

where 'a' is some arbitrary parameter. So solution in (7) is valid, can be easily verified from Eq. (4). This shows that the model in (1) has one massless excitation [1].

Now we investigate for the massive case i.e $k^2 \neq 0$, where we are allowed to go to a rest frame. The momentum can be chosen as $k^\mu = (\Lambda, 0, 0)$. Express η^μ in the rest frame as

$$\eta^\mu(0) = (\eta^0(0), \eta^1(0), \eta^2(0))^T$$

Using these structures for k^μ and η^μ in Eq.(4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^1(0) &= -i\frac{\Lambda}{\theta}\eta^2(0) \\ \eta^2(0) &= i\frac{\Lambda}{\theta}\eta^1(0)\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Mutual consistency of the above equations yields,

$$\Lambda = |\theta|\tag{9}$$

On the otherhand, using the gauge invariance of the model, $\eta^0(0)$ can be set equal to zero. Thus in the rest frame the required polarisation vector is,

$$\eta^0(0) = (0, \eta^1(0), i\frac{|\theta|}{\theta}\eta^1(0))^T$$

modulo a normalisation factor. this can be fixed from the condition,

$$\eta^{*\mu}(0)\eta_\mu(0) = -1$$

so that η^μ finally takes the form,

$$\eta^\mu(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0 \ 1 \ i\frac{|\theta|}{\theta})^T\tag{10}$$

Note that η^μ naturally satisfies the transversality condition $k.\eta = 0$.

We can now compare these results with those in the Maxwell case(for the massless mode) and in the Maxwell-Chern-Simons(MCS) case(for the massive mode). First recall that the Maxwell Lagrangian in D=2+1 dimensions,

$$\mathcal{L}_M = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\tag{11}$$

yields a single massless mode with a polarisation vector identical to (7). So the massless excitation in (1) has similar characteristics of the massless mode of the Maxwell part present in the $\mathcal{L}_{MTCS}$.

Similarly the MCS Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{MCS} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\theta}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f_\mu F_{\nu\lambda}\tag{12}$$

gives a single massive mode. The polarisation vector in the rest frame is given by [2, 8],

$$\eta^\mu(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0 \ 1 \ i \frac{|\theta|}{\theta})^T \quad (13)$$

which is identical structure to (10). Thus the massless(massive) mode of MTCS model behaves, as far as the structure of polarisation vector is concerned, exactly like the corresponding modes in the Maxwell(MCS) theory.

Next we will consider the model containing both CS and TCS terms. The Lagrangian is given by,

$$\mathcal{L}_{CSTCS} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Box f_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{m^2}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} \quad (14)$$

where 'm' has the dimension of mass. The equation of motion following from (14) is,

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(\Box + m^2)F_{\nu\lambda} = 0 \quad (15)$$

Again substitution of Eq.(3) in (15) yields,

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(k^2 - m^2)(k_\nu \eta_\lambda - k_\lambda \eta_\nu) = 0 \quad (16)$$

once again there can be two possibilities, massless modes for $k^2 = 0$ and massive modes for $k^2 \neq 0$. Following the same procedure we get no physical massless excitation. So the case may be omitted. Now for the massive modes, let us take the rest frame configuration i.e $k^\mu = (\Lambda, 0, 0)^T$. Now using the Eq. of motion (16) and the gauge invariance of the model the polarisation vector comes out as,

$$\eta^\mu = (0 \ \alpha \ \beta)^T \quad (17)$$

where α, β are some arbitrary parameters. Also the mass mode Λ is found from the Eq. of motion as,

$$\Lambda = |m|$$

Therefore the model has a spectrum containing two modes of mass m. Such a spectrum has a close analogy with that of the Proca model.

The last model to be considered comprising all three terms, the Maxwell, the CS and the TCS. The Lagrangian takes the form as,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\theta}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{4m}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\Box f_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} \quad (18)$$

where θ and m are the distinct mass parameters. The Eq. of motion following from (18) as

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{\nu\alpha\lambda}F_{\alpha\lambda} + \frac{1}{2m}\epsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta}\square F_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (19)$$

As before substituting the gauge field f_μ defined in (3) in Eq.(19) we get,

$$\eta^\nu = \frac{1}{k^2}[k^\nu(k.\eta) + i(\theta - \frac{k^2}{m})\epsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta}k_\alpha\eta_\beta] \quad (20)$$

Now following identical steps as before one finds that only the massive modes are to be accounted. In the rest frame configuration $k^\mu = (\Lambda, 0, 0)^T$ the polarisation vector comes out as,

$$\eta^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0 \ 1 \ \mp i\frac{|\Lambda|}{\Lambda})^T \quad (21)$$

Thus η^μ is not only complex but also shows a dual characterisation. Furthermore, mutual consistency of relations akin to (8) show that the mass of these modes are given by,

$$\Lambda^2 = \frac{m^2}{2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2\theta}{m}\right) \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\theta}{m}} \right] \quad (22)$$

Eq.(22) indicates four distinct possibilities for Λ .

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{m}{2} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\theta}{m}} \right]$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \frac{m}{2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\theta}{m}} \right]$$

$$\Lambda_3 = -\frac{m}{2} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\theta}{m}} \right]$$

$$\Lambda_4 = -\frac{m}{2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\theta}{m}} \right]$$

Out of these four possibilities, depending upon the sign of Λ two will lead to the result

$$\eta^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0 \ 1 \ +i)^T \quad (23)$$

and the other two will give just the complex conjugate of (23), i.e

$$\eta^{\mu*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0 \ 1 \ -i)^T \quad (24)$$

Thus only two distinct types of massive polarisations are possible. These findings are quite close to that obtained in the Maxwell-Chern Simons-Proca model. The Lagrangian of M-CS-P can be given as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{MCSP} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\theta}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}f_\mu\partial_\nu f_\lambda + \frac{m^2}{2}f^\mu f_\mu \quad (25)$$

where the mass modes are [10],

$$\Lambda_\pm = \sqrt{\frac{\theta^2}{4} + m^2} \pm \frac{\theta}{2} \quad (26)$$

The polarisations for these massive modes in rest frame are exactly identical [2] to (23) and (24).

Section II. Hamiltonian Analysis

So far we have considered the Lagrangian formalism. Now we can discuss the Hamiltonian structure of such higher derivative models. Due to the presence of second order time derivative either the momenta or Hamiltonian will be non-trivial. So to get a better understanding let us consider only the Extended MCS model(i.e MTCS model) for simplicity.

Let us recall the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{MTCS}$ in (1). Now following Ostrogradski formalism for higher-order Lagrangian [3, 4], presence of the second order derivative term leads to the following canonical momenta,

$$p_0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{f}_0} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \ddot{f}_0} = -\frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i \dot{f}_j \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_i &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{f}^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \ddot{f}^i} \\
&= -F_{0i} - \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \square f_j + \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j \dot{f}_0
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$\tilde{p}_0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \ddot{f}^0} = \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i f_j \tag{29}$$

$$\tilde{p}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \ddot{f}^i} = \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} F_{0j} \tag{30}$$

where the canonically conjugate pair can be identified as $[f_\mu, p^\mu]$ and $[\dot{f}_\mu, \tilde{p}^\mu]$, thus total twelve phase space variables span the space. Now we can easily identify the three primary constraints,

$$\Omega_0 = p_0 + \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i \dot{f}_j \tag{31}$$

$$\Omega_i = \tilde{p}_i - \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} F_{0j} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \tag{32}$$

$$\Omega_3 = \tilde{p}_0 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i f_j \tag{33}$$

The canonical Hamiltonian takes the form,,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= \dot{f}_\mu p^\mu + \ddot{f}_\mu \tilde{p}^\mu - \mathcal{L} \\
&= \dot{f}_0 p_0 + 2\theta p_i \epsilon_{ik} \tilde{p}_k - p_k \partial_k f_0 - 2\theta^2 \tilde{p}_i^2 - \tilde{p}_i \nabla^2 f_i \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} (F_{ij})^2 + \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \nabla^2 f_0 \partial_i f_j
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Now considering the above hamiltonian, the time conservation of the primary constraints lead to the secondary constraints,

$$\Omega_4 = p_0 + \partial_i \tilde{p}_i = \Omega_0 + \partial_i \Omega_i \tag{35}$$

$$\Omega_5 = \partial_k p_k + \frac{\theta}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \nabla^2 \partial_i f_j \tag{36}$$

Therefore above total set of constraints (32)-(36) (including primary as well as secondary) denote the independent set of constraints out of which

we can identify Ω_i 's as only second class and others are the firstclass constraints. With the help of these let us find how the canonical brackets are changing. Due to the constrained nature of the system the Poisson brackets will be replaced by Dirac brackets [9]

$$[X, Y]_D = \{X, Y\} - \{X, \Omega_i\} C_{ij}^{-1} \{\Omega_j, Y\}$$

where $C_{ij} = [\Omega_i, \Omega_j]_{PB} = \frac{1}{\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \delta(x, y)$. For this case $C_{ij}^{-1} = -\theta \epsilon_{ij} \delta(x, y)$ with $i, j = 1, 2$.

Now we mention only the nontrivial D'brackets,

$$\begin{aligned} [f_i(x), p_j(y)]_D &= -\delta_{ij} \delta(x, y) \\ [\dot{f}_i(x), \dot{f}_j(y)]_D &= -\theta \epsilon_{ij} \delta(x, y) \\ [p_0(x), \tilde{p}_i]_D &= -\frac{1}{4\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j \delta(x, y) \\ [\tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j]_D &= -\frac{1}{4\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \delta(x, y) \\ [\dot{f}_i, \tilde{p}_j] &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \delta(x, y) \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Using these brackets one can easily show that it correctly reproduce the Euler-Lagrange Eq. of motion and also the relevant constraints from the Hamilton's Eq. of motion. So the equivalence between the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism is satisfied. It might be observed that the algebra of $\dot{f}_i$ is identical to the algebra of the basic field in the usual self dual model,

$$\mathcal{L}_{SD} = \frac{1}{2} f^\mu f_\mu - \frac{1}{2\theta} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} f_\mu \partial_\nu f_\lambda$$

Section III. Wigner's Little Group and Gauge Transformation

Next we will discuss briefly the gauge symmetries of the model concerned. It is clear from the model (1), that the Extended CS term involves metric dependence, so that it becomes non-topological. Again it has been already shown that there exist number of first class constraints which implies this is

a gauge model. So the Extended MCS model stands for a higher derivative massive gauge theory. Now let us try to find out the exact gauge variation in the model using the concept of Wigner's little group. Wigner's little group $E(2)$, which is a subgroup of the Lorentz group $SO(1,3)$ preserves the four momentum invariant, but the polarisation vector η^μ undergoes the gauge transformation,

$$\eta^\mu(k) \rightarrow \eta'^\mu(k) = \eta^\mu(k) + f(k)k^\mu$$

where $f(k)$ can be identified as the gauge parameter. It has been shown earlier [6, 7] that translation like generators of Wigner's little group $E(2)$ can act as generators of gauge transformation in the pure Maxwell theory in (3+1) dimensions where only massless quanta is admitted. Recently it is shown [2] that such little group generator can generate gauge transformations in topologically massive gauge theories like $B \wedge F$ theory in (3+1) dimensions as well as in Maxwell Chern-simons theory which is topological and permits topologically massive quanta in (2+1) dimensions. So our natural question arises whether this same little group can provide the gauge transformations regarding the non-topological extended MCS model where both massless and massive modes are present.

Let us first recall the polarisation vector for massive excitations. So the rest frame configuration is available, i.e $k^\mu = (|\theta| \ 0 \ 0)^T$. In particular, for simplicity let us take $\theta > 0$. Following little group representations as in [2] it is now straight forward to show that, η^μ undergoes the transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{\mu'} &= W_\nu^\mu \eta^\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & -i\alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ i\frac{|\theta|}{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \alpha\frac{|\theta|}{\theta} \\ 1 \\ i\frac{|\theta|}{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ i\frac{|\theta|}{\theta} \end{pmatrix} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{|\theta|} + \frac{\alpha}{\theta}\right) \begin{pmatrix} |\theta| \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \eta^\mu + \frac{2\alpha}{\theta} k^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Therefore we get the gauge transformation on the polarisation vector of the massive extended MCS quanta in its rest frame [6] where α is the gauge

parameter. It is interesting to note that the same little group representation given by W_ν^μ can generate gauge transformations in both MCS and Extended MCS cases (provided θ is positive). This is due to the fact that the expression of the polarisation vector for the two cases are similar.

On the other hand if we consider the polarisation vector $\eta^\mu(k)$ (since rest frame is not available) of the massless mode of the MTCS model and successively operate $\eta^\mu(k)$ by the relevant little group W_ν^μ which plays the same role of a gauge generator of gauge transformation in Pure Maxwell theory it can be easily seen that gauge transformation obtained exactly matches with that of Maxwell case. Reason is same as for the massive case mentioned earlier.

Section IV. Conclusion

We have so far considered the third derivative extension of the topological Chern-Simons term either coupled with the Maxwell term (MTCS) or the Chern-Simons term (CS-TCS) itself or with both of these terms (MTCS-CS). It is shown however, that the polarisation vectors in the above three models coming out from the Lagrangian formulations, reveal some distinct similarity with those familiar models e.g the Maxwell-ChernSimons, the Proca, or the Maxwell-ChernSimons-Proca theories with respect to the various modes of propagation. Now the structure of the polarisation vectors is known to yield the spin of the various modes in the usual models [2, 8]. This information, coupled with the mapping found here, enables one to predict the spin of the modes in these higher derivative models.

Next we discussed the Hamiltonian formulation. We have considered only the MTCS model for convenience. Due to the presence of third order time derivative it becomes very non-trivial to get the canonical pairs specially the momenta. So we adopted the Ostrogradski formalism for higher order lagrangians and successively constructed the momentum as well as the Hamiltonian. Here we elaborately discussed the constrained feature of the model and computed the Dirac brackets as well.

At the end we have investigated the gauge transformation property of that previously mentioned model (i.e MTCS) using the Wigner's Little Group. It is observed that the identical representation of the Wigner's Little Group

which causes gauge transformation for the MCS case(which allows only the topologically massive quanta) is also able to produce gauge transformation for the non-topological massive quanta of the MTCS model.

As a future prospect it might be useful to consider a doublet of these higher derivative models. For second derivative (usual) models, such doublets yield interesting consequences [10].

I am grateful to Prof. Rabin Banerjee for suggesting this problem and also for help with valuable discussions throughout.

References

- [1] S.Deser,R.Jackiw Phy.Lett.B 451 (1999) 73
- [2] R.Banerjee,B.Chkraborty and Tomy Scaria, Modern.Phys.LettA 16 (2001) 853;IJMPA 16(2001)3967;R.Banerjee and B.Chkraborty Phy.Lett.B 502(2001)291.
- [3] J.Lukierski, P.Stichel,W.Zakrzewski, Ann.Phys 260 (1997) 224
- [4] D.M Gitman and I.V Tyutin, *Quantization of Fields with Constraints*, Springer Verlag 1990
- [5] S.Weinberg, *Quantum Theory of Fields*, Vol 1(Cambridge University Press, 1996)
- [6] S.Weinberg,Phys.Rev.B134(1964)882
- [7] D.Han,Y.S.Kim and D.Son Phys.Rev.D26(1982)3717;Phys.Rev.D 31(1985)328.
- [8] F.PDevecchi,M.Fleck,H.O.Girotti,M.Gomes and A.J.da Silva Ann.Phys.(N.Y.)242(1995)275.
- [9] P.A.M.Dirac,*Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*,Yeshiva University NY, 1964.
- [10] R.Banerjee and S.Kumar,Phys.Rev.D60(1999)085005;Phys.Rev.D 63(2000)125008